

The clear structure and focus on ZBB and **structural savings** are crucial for this MBB-grade response. The plan emphasizes not just cutting costs, but transforming the operating model to sustain those savings.

Here is the comprehensive action plan for an **Operational Excellence and Zero-Based Budgeting (ZBB) Program**, delivered from the perspective of a Senior MBB Partner.

Comprehensive Action Plan: Operational Excellence and Zero-Based Budgeting (ZBB)

Section	Content
Preamble/Role	Senior Partner, MBB Firm. The company is a mature, publicly traded consumer goods company with bloated General and Administrative (G&A) costs and a need to free up capital for digital product investment.
Core Mandate	Design a comprehensive action plan for a 3-year Operational Excellence and Zero-Based Budgeting (ZBB) program . The plan must identify and realize 15% in structural SG&A (Selling, General, and Administrative) cost savings by FY2 without impairing core innovation or go-to-market capabilities.
Objective	Achieve \$450 Million in sustained, run-rate SG&A cost reduction by the end of FY2, reinvesting 50% of savings into strategic growth initiatives.

Section	Content
Compelling Why	The current cost structure is 200 basis points above the peer group median, depressing the Price-to-Earnings (P/E) ratio. This transformation is projected to improve the operating margin by 2.5% and is estimated to yield an increase in the company's market capitalization of >\$2 billion by signaling capital discipline to investors. The strategic imperative is to shift capital from low-value internal processes (redundant reporting, excess headcount) to high-value areas (R&D, digital marketing).
Approach	Phase 1: Opportunity Diagnostic & Target Setting (Months 1-3): Deep-dive spend analysis, ZBB category definition, benchmarking, and setting aggressive but achievable ZBB targets (15%). Phase 2: ZBB Design & Commitment (Months 4-6): Train budget owners, create "Zero-Base Sheets" justifying every dollar of spend, conduct challenge sessions, and secure CEO/CFO sign-off on the new budget baseline. Phase 3: Implementation & Savings Realization (Months 7-24): Launch OpEx projects (e.g., process automation, vendor consolidation), implement new organizational structures, and aggressively track savings delivery. Phase 4: Sustaining Culture & Institutionalization (Months 25+): Embed ZBB rigor into the annual planning cycle and transition the dedicated PMO role to the CFO organization.

Section	Content
Organization	Steering Committee (SteerCo): Chaired by the CFO, co-chaired by the CEO, meets monthly. Focuses on cross-functional trade-offs and policy changes (e.g., travel policies). Dedicated ZBB Program Management Office (PMO): Reports to the CFO. Responsible for methodology, tool management, tracking, and leading ZBB Challenge Sessions. Functional Cost Owners: VP and Director-level leaders assigned accountability for ZBB targets within their function (e.g., IT Spend Owner, HR Services Owner).
Processes & Governance	Minimum Required Spend (MRS) Definition: Mandate that 100% of every cost category must be justified. Define the "MRS" as the minimum funding required to maintain regulatory compliance and core capability—everything above that is "Discretionary" and subject to ROI justification. Monthly Cost Center Review: Institute a rigorous, monthly review cadence where Functional Cost Owners present their spend vs. the MRS baseline to the PMO and CFO. Savings Rigor: Implement a 4-stage savings validation protocol (Identification, Commitment, Execution, Realization) to prevent "phantom savings" and ensure P&L capture.

Section	Content
Key Deliverables	Phase 1: Cost Opportunity Heatmap (by ZBB category), Initial Target Allocation Model. Phase 2: 300+ Signed-Off Cost Center Zero-Base Sheets, Detailed Implementation Charter for all OpEx projects. Phase 3: New Organizational Design (flattened structure), Unified Vendor Management Platform, 80% of OpEx projects completed. Phase 4: ZBB Policy Manual (Standard Operating Procedure), Institutionalized Annual Planning Template.
Critical Risks & Mitigation	1. Cutting Value-Driving Costs Risk: Eliminating spend in areas critical for innovation (R&D projects, strategic marketing). Mitigation: Exclude 20% of high-value R&D and sales enablement spend from ZBB for initial targeting, subjecting it only to a specialized ROI-based challenge. 2. Loss of Employee Morale/Focus Risk: High-profile cost cuts lead to attrition and low engagement. Mitigation: Communicate a clear Reinvestment Thesis—"We cut costs to invest in <i>our future</i>," ensuring 50% of realized savings are publicly linked to strategic growth funding. 3. Cost Creep Post-Implementation Risk: Budget owners gradually increase spend back to previous levels. Mitigation: Embed the MRS baseline into the ERP system and restrict budget owners from exceeding MRS without explicit SteerCo approval.

Section	Content
Change Management Plan	Strategy: Foster a "Cost-Conscious-Ownership" culture, moving accountability from the CFO to every budget owner. Training: Conduct compulsory, hands-on ZBB training for 300+ budget owners, focusing on analytical skills and process justification. Early Wins: Publicly celebrate the Top 3 budget owners who delivered the largest, most sustainable savings, tied to internal recognition and compensation. Leadership: The CEO and CFO must consistently use ZBB language in all internal and external communications.
Crucial Additional Element	Success Metrics (KPIs): Lagging Indicators: 1. Adjusted SG&A Spend as % of Revenue. 2. Portfolio-level Operating Margin (>15%). Leading Indicators: 3. Spend Per FTE (Full-Time Equivalent) in G&A functions. 4. % of ZBB Commitments on Track. 5. Employee Survey Score on Perceived Efficiency of Internal Processes.